

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division

MeadWestvaco Corporation)	
<i>et al.,</i>)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 1:10cv511 (GBL/TRJ)
)	
Rexam PLC)	
<i>et al.,</i>)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

ORDER

The court has under advisement plaintiffs’ motion to compel (no. 242), and has considered the arguments advanced in support and in opposition.

The court finds that the materials in issue are protected work product within the scope of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3), and that plaintiff has not shown substantial need within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(ii). The court further finds that plaintiff’s waiver argument is without merit.

It is accordingly ORDERED that the motion is DENIED.

ENTERED this 22nd day of April, 2011.

/s/
Thomas Rawles Jones, Jr.
United States Magistrate Judge

Alexandria, Virginia